Ultrasensitive and selective detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* using a novel IgY-based colorimetric platform
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**ABSTRACT**

To develop a specific method for the detection of *S. aureus*, chicken anti-protein A IgY was adopted for specifically capturing *S. aureus*, depending on the specific recognition of staphylococcal protein A (SPA) by chicken anti-protein A IgY, which can eliminate the interference from protein G-producing *Streptococcus* HRP labeled IgG, Fc region of which has a high affinity towards SPA, was paired with IgY for the colorimeter analysis of the system. By optimizing the system, a super-low detection limit of 11 CFU of *S. aureus* in 100μL PBS without enrichment, with a linear range from 5.0×10^2 CFU mL^-1 to 5.0×10^4 CFU mL^-1 was obtained. The entire assay was accomplished in less than 90min and no cross-reactivity with the other tested bacterial species was observed. Moreover, the developed assay has been applied for the detection of *S. aureus* in three different types of real samples (sodium chloride injection, apple juice and human urine) with satisfactory results. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time to report using chicken anti-protein A IgY and any IgG to detect *S. aureus* based on the dual-recognition mode of SPA. The novel method opened up a way for monitoring *S. aureus* in food samples with high sensitivity, specificity and simple operation.

1. **Introduction**

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), which is one of the most commonly iatrogenic and foodborne pathogenic bacteria, and it is attributed to a wide range of infections in humans from skin infections to life-threatening diseases (Esteban-Fernandez de Avila et al., 2012; Lowy, 1998; Tannert et al., 2018). In United States, around 241,000 cases of food-borne illnesses were caused by *S. aureus* each year, which represent a major public health problem (Yu et al., 2016). *S. aureus* poses a great threat to food safety and public health (Jurzzenen et al., 2018; Wollenhaupt et al., 1992; Zhao et al., 2018). Hence, it is critical to guarantee food safety and human health by the detection of *S. aureus* with high sensitivity and selectivity.

The gold standards for *S. aureus* detection rely on bacteria-culture, including pre-enrichment, selective enrichment, selective plating, biochemical screening and serological confirmation (Liu et al., 2019; Rubab et al., 2018; Song et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2019). The whole procedure consumes more than 2 days to acquire a confirmed result of bacterial cells, which could not meet the needs of fast screening food pathogens in food samples. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based methods exhibit high sensitivity and specificity for the targets by determining the specific sequences and they can avoid time-consuming bacterial culture and hence reduce the detection time (Brakstad et al., 1992; Hao et al., 2017; Schuelke, 2000; Sun et al., 2015). However, the polymerases used in PCR are easily inhibited by the complex food matrix factors and cannot directly detect low levels of target bacteria in large volume of food samples and also PCR can only detect nucleic acid and cannot detect inactivated pathogens (Sung et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017). Antibody-based biosensor methods rely on specific interactions between antigens and corresponding antibodies, presenting the
merits of rapid speed, low cost, high sensitivity, high throughput screening and good specificity (Afkhami et al., 2017; Karimi et al., 2019; Khoshfetrat et al., 2018, 2019). Recently, lots of antibody-based strategies, utilizing the binding behavior of Staphylococcal protein A (SPA) towards the Fc fragment of non-specific mammalian immunoglobulin G (IgG), have realized the diagnosis of S. aureus with high sensitivity. Molecule recognition agents such as antibiotics (e.g. vancomycin) or cell wall binding domains of bacteriophage endolysins have been reported to serve as paired recognition elements to form sandwich assays (Gao et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2016). However, a major hindrance to the specificity of these antibody-based immunoassays is the presence of protein G-producing Streptococcus, leading to the false-positive results for the detection of S. aureus. For example, protein G-producing Streptococcus, which can be recognized by vancomycin, a broad-spectrum antibiotic for Gram-positive microbes, interferes the detection of S. aureus. As well, protein G on the cell wall of protein G-producing Streptococcus could also bind with mammalian IgG, which will produce false positive signals for the detection of S. aureus by using IgG antibody as recognition element (Yan et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017).

Immunoglobulin Y (IgY), a counterpart of mammalian IgG, exists in avian serum and egg yolk (Duan et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2017; Viking et al., 1998). Compared to mammalian IgG, chicken IgY does not non-specifically combine with SPA or protein G (Jin et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2013). Chicken IgY might be a good candidate to detect S. aureus circumventing the interference from protein G generating Streptococcus. As well-known, plenty of SPA molecules are expressed only on the surface of S. aureus (Liu et al., 2007). Herein, SPA was chosen as an epitope of S. aureus in this study. IgY specific to protein A coupling with magnetic beads (MBs) was utilized to capture S. aureus in samples, and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labeled non-specific mammalian IgG was employed to bind with “vacant” SPA molecules to establish a sandwich assay, where the oxidation of 3, 3', 5, 5' - tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) was catalyzed to obtain colorimetric signals. The scheme of the method was illustrated in Fig. 1. The combination of chicken anti-protein A IgY with a non-specific mammalian IgG enhanced selectivity and sensitivity significantly. Chicken anti-protein A IgY as capturing antibody can greatly improve the specificity of immunoassay. Furthermore, non-specific mammalian IgG as detection antibody could amplify the detection signals through binding Fc region of IgG to SPA, where there are around 80, 000 SPA molecules on the surface of one bacterium of S. aureus (Xiong et al., 2016). What is more, one SPA molecule contains five homologous IgG-binding regions (Yang et al., 2008), improving the sensitivity of the proposed method. To our best knowledge, this was the first reported utilization of chicken anti-protein A IgY and non-specific mammalian IgG as the antibody pair to detect S. aureus with high sensitivity and selectivity based on the dual-recognition mode of SPA. The developed method has potential applications for the detection of S. aureus in multiple fields including medical and food areas.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and reagents

S. aureus (ATCC 12598), E. coli O157: H7 (EDL 933), Salmonella (ATCC 14028) and Listeria monocytogenes (ATCC 19115), Streptococcus dysgalactiae (ATCC 35666) and Streptococcus agalactiae (isolated from raw milk) were used for this study. Carboxyl MBs (0.82 μm in diameter) of 25 mg mL⁻¹ were purchased from Spherotech (USA). (3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). Chicken anti-protein A IgY (1 mg mL⁻¹) and biotin-chicken anti-protein A IgY (1 mg mL⁻¹) were supplied by Abcam (UK). HRP labeled rabbit anti-mouse IgG (HRP- IgG) (1 mg mL⁻¹), Alexa fluor 568 labeled rabbit anti-mouse IgG (1 mg mL⁻¹) and TMB solution were obtained from Biodragon immunotechnologies (Beijing, China). S. aureus ELISA kit was purchased from Meimian biotechnology (Jiangsu, China). Streptavidin-FITC (SA-FITC) was purchased from Biologend (USA). Tween-20 and skim milk powder were acquired from Solarbio (Beijing, China) and BD Difco (USA), respectively. 96-well serological microplates were bought from Jet bio-filtration (Guangzhou, China). Sodium chloride injection and apple juice were obtained from local market. Human urine was provided by healthy volunteers. All other reagents were of analytical grade and supplied by local commercial suppliers unless specified otherwise. All buffers were prepared using the deionized water (18.2 MΩ).

2.2. Apparatus

Magnetic separation racks, bought from Goldmag biotech (Xi’an, China), were utilized to isolate the MBs from the solutions to the side wall of Eppendorf tube. An Oscillator Vortex Genie 2 (USA) was employed to disperse MBs in Eppendorf tube. An incubator shaker ZWY-100H (Labwitt scientific, China) was used for bacterial incubation and antigen-antibody reaction. The deionized water (18.2 MΩ) was produced by Ulupure UPR-R-10T (Sichuan, China). Fluorescence micrographs were captured by an Eclipse Ti-S fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Japan). Optical measurements were performed utilizing a Multiskan MK3 microplate reader (Thermo Scientific, USA).

2.3. Bacteria culture and counting

S. aureus, E. coli O157: H7 and Salmonella were inoculated in Luria-Bertani broth medium, and Streptococcus agalactiae, Streptococcus dysgalactiae and Listeria monocytogenes were grown in brain heart infusion broth medium. After incubation at 37 °C overnight with continuous shaking, pure cultures were collected by centrifuge at 3000 r min⁻¹ for 10 min to remove culture medium and washed twice by sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 10 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.4, containing 4.3 mmol L⁻¹ Na₂HPO₄, 1.4 mmol L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 137 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl and 2.7 mmol L⁻¹ KCl). The collected bacteria were re-suspended in sterile PBS (10 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.4) with 20% glycerol. The bacterial concentration was determined by standard colony counting method. Colony-forming units (CFU) of bacteria strains were counted as ∼ 10⁶ CFU mL⁻¹, and aliquots of the bacteria in sterile Eppendorf tubes were kept at −20 °C until use.
2.4. Characterization of specific combination of anti-protein A IgY or mammalian IgG towards \textit{S. aureus}

To demonstrate the specific combination of anti-protein A IgY or mammalian IgG with \textit{S. aureus}, \textit{S. aureus} was incubated for 30 min with Alexa fluor 568 labeled rabbit anti-mouse IgG and biotin-chicken anti-protein A IgY, respectively. Then, the antibodies labeled \textit{S. aureus} cells were centrifuged and washed three times with PBST to remove the unbound antibodies. The biotin-chicken anti-protein A IgY labeled \textit{S. aureus} required a further incubation with SA-FITC to load FITC on \textit{S. aureus} through biotin-streptavidin affinity. The scheme of the preparation of fluorochrome-stained \textit{S. aureus} was illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S1. Ultimately, the fluorescence micrographs of the fluorochrome-stained \textit{S. aureus} were observed and recorded utilizing a fluorescence microscope.

2.5. Preparation of immuno-magnetic beads

Immuno-magnetic beads (IMBs) were prepared according to our previously reported method (Zhang et al., 2019). Briefly, 40 μL of Carboxyl magnetic beads were first pipetted into Eppendorf tube and placed on a magnetic separation rack to remove the supernatant. After washed twice by PBS (10 mmol L⁻¹, pH 6.8), MBs were dispersed in 120 μL of PBS (10 mmol L⁻¹, pH 6.8). Afterwards, 80 μL of solution containing 25 mg mL⁻¹ EDC and 12.5 mg mL⁻¹ NHS was added to react with carboxyl of MBs through the EDC/NHS amidization reaction. After 30 min, 50 μg of chicken anti-protein A IgY was added and incubated under constant shaking (180 r min⁻¹) at 37°C for 4 h. The resulted immuno-magnetic beads (IMBs) were washed twice by 200 μL of phosphate-buffered saline with Tween 20 (PBST, PBS at pH 7.4 containing 0.05% Tween-20) and then blocked with blocking buffer (PBS at pH 7.4 containing 5% BSA and 15% skim milk powder) for 2 h. Finally, antibody-immobilized MBs were prepared and stored in 1 mL PBS (10 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% NaN₃ at 4°C for further use.

2.6. Detection procedure

The principle and procedure of the developed IgY-based colorimetric detection of \textit{S. aureus} were depicted in Fig. 1. Certain amount of IMBs were mixed with 100 μL of \textit{S. aureus} under vigorous shaking (180 r min⁻¹) at 37°C. Following 30 min of incubation, where IMBs captured the target \textit{S. aureus}. Following that, the complexes of IMBs/\textit{S. aureus} were magnetically separated. After discarding the supernatant, the complexes were washed four times with 200 μL of PBST (Yan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016). The beads were re-suspended with 100 μL of HRP-IgG and the mixture was incubated under the rotation (180 r min⁻¹) at 37°C for 30 min. Finally, the formed immuno-complexes (IMBs/\textit{S. aureus}/HRP-IgG) were rinsed four times with 200 μL of PBST to remove the unbound enzyme marker. Afterwards, the immuno-complexes were mixed with 100 μL of chromogenic substrate containing TMB and H₂O₂ at 37°C in darkness for 10 min. Then, 100 μL of 2 mol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ was added to cease the reaction. After the magnetic separation, the supernatant was transferred into the 96-well serological microplate for the absorbance (450 nm) measurement.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Recognition of chicken anti-protein A IgY and mammalian IgG towards \textit{S. aureus}

\textit{S. aureus} was stained with green fluorescence using SA-FITC combined with biotin-chicken anti-protein A IgY through biotin-streptavidin reaction. Alexa fluor 568 labeled mammalian IgG was utilized to serve as red fluorescence when SPA bound with Fc region of mammalian IgG. The bright-field images of the stained \textit{S. aureus} (as shown in Supplementary Figs. S2A and S2B) indicated the location of bacteria. Red fluorescence of \textit{S. aureus} tagged with Alexa fluor 568 and green fluorescence of \textit{S. aureus} labelled with FITC can be observed in Supplementary Figs. S2C and S2D, respectively. The results of the fluorescence microscopy confirmed that chicken anti-protein A IgY and mammalian IgG as good recognition elements and good labeling molecules for the detection of \textit{S. aureus}.

3.2. Optimization of the experimental conditions

Generally speaking, the sensitivity of immunoassay could be severely influenced by the amount of relative immuno-reagents (Mani et al., 2009; Yang and Li, 2006). Hence, the amount of IMBs addition and the concentration of HRP-IgG were tested to get ideal detection performance of immunoassay in this study.

The amount of IMBs addition ranging from 3 μg to 20 μg was tested. In Fig. 2, the signal \textit{A} represented the absorbance intensity at 450 nm with 5.0 × 10⁴ CFU mL⁻¹ \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{A₀} was obtained for a blank of PBS using the same process as the samples containing \textit{S. aureus}. As illustrated in Fig. 2A, the signal \textit{A} increased with the enhancing amount of the IMBs and almost reached a plateau when the addition of IMBs was 10 μg. While \textit{A₀} ascended gradually with the increasing amount of IMBs, resulting in Fig. 2B. As illustrated in Fig. 2B, the signal \textit{A} increased with the enhancing amount of IMBs and almost reached a plateau when the addition of IMBs was 10 μg. While \textit{A₀} ascended gradually with the increasing amount of IMBs, leading to the highest value of \textit{A}/\textit{A₀} appearing in the middle of the amount of the IMBs of 10 μg as revealed in Fig. 2B (The mean values of \textit{A}, \textit{A₀} and \textit{A}/\textit{A₀} along with standard deviations (n = 3) displayed in Fig. 2A and 2B were listed in Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, excess IMBs were not necessary, even abating the sensitivity of the assay. The amount of 10 μg IMBs was selected as a compromise for the subsequent optimization.

Effect of the concentration of HRP-IgG on the sensitivity of the proposed method was also investigated. As shown in Fig. 2C, both of \textit{A} and \textit{A₀} revealed an increase when the concentration of HRP-IgG
growing from 0.0625 to $1\mu g\text{mL}^{-1}$. At the low concentration range of 0.0625–0.25$\mu g\text{mL}^{-1}$, $A$ increased faster than $A_0$, because in the low range of HRP-IgG concentrations, the reaction sites were unsaturated. While at the high concentration range of 0.25–1$\mu g\text{mL}^{-1}$, $A$ increased more slowly than $A_0$, owing to too high concentration of HRP-IgG promoting non-specific adsorption of HRP-labeled IgG onto IMBs and leading to high background signals (The mean values of $A$, $A_0$ and $A/A_0$ along with standard deviations (n=3) presented in Fig. 2C and 2D were listed in Supplementary Table S2). Thus, as displayed in Fig. 2D, optimal $A/A_0$ was achieved for HRP-IgG at the concentration of 0.25$\mu g\text{mL}^{-1}$. Thus this condition was adopted for further experiments.

3.3. Analytical performance for *S. aureus* detection

Under optimal conditions, the concentrations of *S. aureus* ranging from $5.0 \times 10^2$ to $5.0 \times 10^4\text{CFU mL}^{-1}$ were tested. As shown in Fig. 3, with increasing of the concentration of *S. aureus*, the absorbance intensity at 450 nm rose linearly. The regression equation was $Y = 4.0819 \times 10^{-5} X + 0.0602$ with the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) of 0.9916, where $Y$ and $X$ represented the absorbance intensity (450 nm) of the reaction solution and the concentration of *S. aureus* (CFU mL$^{-1}$), respectively (The mean values along with standard deviations (n = 3) exhibited in Fig. 3 were listed in Supplementary Table S3). The detection limit (3σ, where σ is the standard deviation of the detection of the blank (PBS), n = 10, data were shown in Supplementary Table S4) was calculated to be $2.0 \times 10^3\text{CFU mL}^{-1}$, whereas in reality, it is hard to detect 1 CFU for PCR. Therefore, we can say that the sensitivity of the method is much higher than PCR. The sensitivity of the proposed method was better than that of the reported colorimetric immunoassays listed in Table 1. The super-low detection limit may be due to the choice of SPA as an epitope of *S. aureus*. Since there are plentiful SPA molecules on one cell of *S. aureus* and five homologous IgG-binding regions for one SPA molecule, HRP-IgG acting as a detection antibody could amplify the colorimetric signals and improve the sensitivity of the proposed method. Compared with these reported colorimetric methods, the proposed method exhibited ultra-high sensitivity, little interference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Spiked (CFU mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Found (CFU mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple juice</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human urine *</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>113.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>121.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The sample was 10-time diluted.

---

**Table 1**

Colorimetric methods for the detection of *S. aureus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Detection limit (CFU mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mammalian Antibody/gold nanoparticle/magnetic nanoparticle nanocomposites based immunoassay</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>Sung et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-site recognition of <em>S. aureus</em> utilizing antibiotic-affinity strategy</td>
<td>$6.7 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme linked cell wall binding domain of phage lysis</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>Yu et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phagomagnetic immunoassay</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral flow immunoassay</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>Li et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold based immunosensor</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>Soughir et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposed method</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3.** The plot of absorbance intensity at 450 nm versus concentration of *S. aureus* in PBS. The calibration curve for *S. aureus* detection was shown in inset. Three independent measurements were taken from three individual preparations for each condition. Error bars indicated the standard deviations.

**Fig. 4.** Absorbance intensity at 450 nm by the developed method for the detection of *S. aureus* and the interfering bacteria. Three independent measurements were taken from three individual preparations for each condition. Error bars indicated the standard deviations.

---

**Table 2**

Recovery tests of *S. aureus* spiked in real samples (n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Spiked (CFU mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Found (CFU mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple juice</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$8.1 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human urine *</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>113.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$9.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>121.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The sample was 10-time diluted.
from the sample matrix and no disturbance from the protein G producing Streptococcus, which did not require either rare metals, complicated nanomaterial preparations, trained staff or sophisticated instruments. In addition, relative standard deviation (RSD) test employed S. aureus cells at 5.0 × 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹ as a standard sample to investigate the reproducibility of the developed immunoassay. The resulted RSD value was calculated to be 2.94% (detailed data were shown in Supplementary Table S5, n = 11), manifesting a good reproducibility.

3.4. Specificity for S. aureus detection

Specificity of the proposed method was investigated to testify its application reliability. E. coli O157: H7, Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes, Streptococcus agalactiae and Streptococcus dysgalactiae all at 1.0 × 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹ for each were employed as interfering bacteria. As exhibited in Fig. 4, the signals obtained from five interfering bacteria were close to that from PBS, and the signals obtained from their mixtures with S. aureus (5.0 × 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹) were similar to that from S. aureus (5.0 × 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹) (The mean values along with standard deviations (n = 3) shown in Fig. 4 were listed in Supplementary Table S6). Note that the interference from Streptococcus was negligible due to the adoption of chicken anti-protein A IgY as a capture agent. Since chicken IgY possesses a different structure of Fc region from mammalian IgG, chicken anti-protein A IgY does not bind to protein G producing Streptococcus. In addition, chicken anti-protein A IgY could specifically bind with SPA on the surface of S. aureus through antibody-antigen affinity (Jin et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2013). Hence, the proposed method can exhibit excellent selectivity towards S. aureus and avoid interference from common pathogenic microorganisms.

3.5. Real sample detection

Spiked sodium chloride injection, apple juice and human urine were used as drug, food and clinical samples to access the application potential. All these samples were prepared using the standard addition method. Calibration curves in spiked samples were shown in supplementary Fig. S3, S4 and S5. The recoveries were listed in Table 2 and found to range from 89.1% to 121.1%, which revealed satisfactory potential of the proposed method in real sample detection.

In order to compare the proposed method with a common-used method, several real samples (sodium chloride injection, apple juice and human urine) spiked by S. aureus were collected to test by the developed method and a standard method enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The results were shown in Table 2 in the supplementary material, which indicates that the results obtained from the method were consistent with those obtained from ELISA. The data proved that the novel colorimetric method offers good precision and has high potential for the analysis of S. aureus in various kinds of samples. However, there is a major limitation of the proposed method, which is the presence of IgG in real samples (e.g. serum). In the proposed method, the binding of chicken anti-protein A IgY and HRP-IgG to SPA on the surface of S. aureus was adopted, so IgG in samples may interact with protein A on the surface of S. aureus, which would cause the capture efficiency of IMBs decreasing rapidly and much less SPA molecules of S. aureus left for HRP-IgG recognition.

4. Conclusion

Through the dual-recognition mode of SPA, chicken anti-protein A IgY and non-specific mammalian IgG were served as an antibody pair to detect S. aureus with high sensitivity and selectivity in this study. Chicken anti-protein A IgY as a capture antibody has highly selective and specific binding to the target bacteria, and non-specific mammalian IgG as a detection antibody to form a sandwich assay. Because one SPA molecule can bind with five mammalian IgG molecules, the sensitivity of the proposed method can be improved. Under optimal conditions, S. aureus can be readily detected at an ultralow detection limit (1.1 × 10^5 CFU mL⁻¹) in less than 90 min. Moreover, this assay was validated to be compatible with spiked samples, benefited from ideal sensitivity and selectivity. Therefore, this immunoassay provided an effective tool for S. aureus detection in various samples (except for IgG containing samples) and exhibited great potentials in developing a commercial kit for S. aureus screening.
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